

PILOT #9

VYTAUTAS SVIDERSKIS

2017-05-27

08:39

COMPLAINT

About flight 3 (2017-05-22 AM)

Request to cancel tasks 8 and 9 because:

1. Task 8 had to be flown in order, but task 9 had to be done during task 8. (task 9 had to be completed before finishing task 8).

2. It was infringement of rule part chapter 8.4.1. According this rule, the combination of tasks should aim at the possibility of running each task independently. In this case tasks 8 and 9 had to be flown together.

V. Sviderskis


ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF PILOT NR. 9

2017.05.27 09:19

Marijampole

1. I agree that statement about task flying order in Task #8 was set wrong. My intention was that Task #8 and Task #9 should be done in any order, but in a rush, I didn't change that in Task #8 and left it "in order". On another hand, it was clear communicated during the briefing, that you can start doing first task, then complete second one and later finish the first one. Rule 8.4.2 says that "Unless otherwise specified, tasks in a multiple task flight shall be in the order indicated in task data, penalty up to 1000 task points in each task.". In that case, I should penalize all pilots, including you, who did tasks differently than it stated in Task Data Sheet. But because it was my mistake, I won't do that.
2. During the Task briefing you asked me about this issue and I answered you, that I don't think that these tasks somehow interfere to the result of one each other. There was not a situation when you needed to make a decision to choose one task in favor of another. There was possibility to get best the best results in both tasks.

Therefore, I do not accept your complaint and do not cancel Tasks #8 and #9.

Eugenijus Komars
Event director